

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41087 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2025 Thana- Gurupa District- Gaya

Binod Kumar S/o Nanhaku Yadav R/o-Village-Kathotiya Kewal, P.S.-Gurpa,
District-Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Onkar Nath

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sangeeta Sharma

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in connection with Gurpa P.S. Case No. 62/2025 dated 16.04.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 660 litres of illicit country-made liquor and 126 litres of illicit foreign liquor were recovered from the six motorcycles.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The name of the petitioner has sprung up in the confessional statement of the co-accused, Pankaj Paswan @



Pankaj Kumar who has been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 18.06.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 37940 of 2025. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. As per para-7 of the bail petition, the petitioner is neither the owner nor the driver of any one of the said vehicles. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the



above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned, Gaya in connection with Gurpa P.S. Case No. 62/2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

