

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41578 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-245 Year-2024 Thana- CHANPATIA District- West Champaran

Sunil Kumar Das @ Sunil Das S/O Ramdeo Das R/O Village-Mahna Tola
Musahari, PS- Chanpatia, Distt-West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jainendra Kumar Pushkar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Vinod Shanker Modi, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Chanpatia P.S. Case No. 245 of 2024 dated 23.12.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 103(2), 80, 238 and 61(2) of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner and the co-accused persons are alleged to have killed the informant's daughter by pressing her neck and took her dead body in Creta Car to conceal the same. The reason behind the occurrence is that his daughter was repeatedly harassed and assaulted by her in-laws and husband and for this purpose, a mediation was held many times. It is further alleged that the petitioner was in love



affair with another girl.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioner is the husband of the deceased. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged offence. The petitioner has never tortured the informant's daughter. Learned counsel has further submitted that the deceased committed suicide by hanging herself and the cause of death has been opined by the doctor to be asphyxia as a result of hanging. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 10.02.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has opposed the bail petition of the petitioner and submitted that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased who committed murder of his wife. As per the impugned order, from perusal of the case diary, it transpires that in para 5 of the case diary, the informant in his statement and witness in para no. 6 have supported the prosecution case. It is further submitted that at para 15 of the case diary, there is inquest report, which shows that the cause of death has been mentioned as strangulation.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the heinous nature of allegation against the



petitioner, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner above-named on bail.

7. Learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same at the earliest.

8. The application stands rejected.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Gautam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

