

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46504 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-226 Year-2024 Thana- INDUSTRIAL District- Bhagalpur

Ravi Kumar S/o Sri Pramod Kumar Poddar Resident of Mohalla B.Tola near  
Railway line, P.S.- Ishakchak, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                          |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Debesh Kumar Poddar  |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KHATIM REZA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      03-09-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Industrial Area P.S. Case No. 226 of 2024 dated 24.12.2024 instituted for the offence punishable under Sections 20(b) (ii) /22/29 of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. As per prosecution case, there is recovery of 5 Kg. Ganja like substance was recovered from a white colour Tiago Car bearing Registration No. BR10PA6731 in which two accused persons namely Ravi Kumar and Purushottam Kumar were sitting in the vehicle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further submits that the seized Ganja like



substance is more than small quantity and less than commercial quantity. Petitioner is in custody since 24.12.2024 having one criminal antecedent of similar nature i.e. u/s 20(b), 22(c) of the N.D.P.S. Act.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is also involved in the same nature of offence and therefore he is not entitled to get bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner due to past antecedent of similar nature.

7. Accordingly the prayer for regular bail on behalf of the petitioner stands rejected.

8. The learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the trial preferably within a period of nine (9) months from the date of receipt or production of a copy of this order.

**(Khatim Reza, J)**

Ranjeet/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

