

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42596 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-76 Year-2024 Thana- DHAMOUL District- Nawada

-
1. Najeeb Ahmad @ Laddu @ Md. Najeeb Ahmad S/o Late Sammi Ahmad R/o vill - Jamhariya, P.O. - Arha, P.S. - Dhamaul, Distt.- Nawada
 2. Inam @ Munnu S/o Late Nasar Malik R/o vill - Jamhariya, P.O. - Arha, P.S. - Dhamaul, Distt.- Nawada
 3. Akil Ahmad S/o Late Shamsuddin R/o vill - Jamhariya, P.O. - Arha, P.S. - Dhamaul, Distt.- Nawada
 4. Md. Iftekhhar @ Bohlu S/o Md. Jafir R/o vill - Jamhariya, P.O. - Arha, P.S. - Dhamaul, Distt.- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ravi Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Gauri Shankar Gupta, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 15-10-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Dhamaol P.S. Case No. 76 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 109, 117(2), 352 and 351(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Allegedly the petitioner along with other accused persons have brutally assaulted the informant while he was cultivating his field.

4. Learned Advocate for the petitioners submitted that admittedly there was a land dispute between the parties and



chances of false implication cannot be ruled out. Even as per the F.I.R., altogether nine accused persons, including the petitioners, assaulted the informant, but only one injury has been found i.e. fracture on fibula. It has not been disclosed by the informant that who is the author of that injury. The other co-accused persons, facing identical allegation, have been allowed the privilege of anticipatory bail by a learned coordinate Bench of this Court in Cr. Misc. No. 58912 of 2025 vide order dated 08.09.2025, the copy of which has been placed before this Court. It is lastly contended that be that it may, now the peace has been restored between the parties and both of them do not wish to proceed further.

5. On the other hand, learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the bail application and submits that the statement of the victim was recorded in the hospital where he alleged that it is the petitioners and others, who brutally assaulted him; besides the aforesaid facts the petitioners bears multiple criminal antecedent.

6. Learned Advocate for the informant, however, supported the factum of settlement of dispute.

7. Having considered the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note



of the omnibus nature of allegation, coupled with the fact that the case of the petitioners is based on parity with those, who have been extended the privilege of anticipatory bail, let the petitioners, named above, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nawada in connection with Dhamoul P.S. Case No. 76 of 2024, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with the further condition that one of the bailors shall be the own/close relative of the petitioners.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

