

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9915 of 2024

=====

Tripurari Kumar Tiwari @ Manish Kashyap S/o Udit Kumar Tiwari, Resident of Village - Mahanwa, P.S. - Majhaulia, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna, Bihar.
- 2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
- 3. The Chairman, National Highways Authority of India.
- 4. The Chairman, Bihar Rajya Pul Nirman Nigam Ltd., Patna.
- 5. The Regional Head, S.P. Singla Constructions Pvt. Ltd., Patna.
- 6. Sirajur Rehman @ Md Anisur Rahman, Contractor from Kishanganj, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8095 of 2025

=====

Brajesh Singh x

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State of Bihar Bihar.
- 2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Road Construction Department, Govt. of Bihar.
- 3. The Additional Chief Secretary, Rural Works Department, Govt. of Bihar.
- 4. The Chairman, Bihar Rajya Pul Nirman Nigam Limited, Patna.
- 5. The Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways.
- 6. The Chairman, National Highway Authority of India.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 9915 of 2024)

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Diwakar Suman Kr., Advocate
For the Respondent No. 4	:	Mr.P.K.Shahi, AG
		Mrs.Binita Singh, Advocate

(In Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 8095 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Brajesh Kumar (In-person)
		Mr.Neeraj Kumar, Advocate
For the Union of India	:	Dr.Anajani Pd. Singh, CGC
For the State	:	Mr.Vikash Kumar
For the BRPNNL	:	Mr.P.K.Shahi, AG
		Mr.Vikash Kumar, Advocate
For the NHAI	:	Dr.Maurya Vijay Chandra, Advocate
		Mr.Gaurav Govinda, Advocate
		Ms.Preety Ranjan, Advocate

=====



CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

8 10-10-2025

RE: CWJC No. 9915 of 2024

In the instant petition, petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

“(i) For issuance of an order(s)/ direction(s) or writ(s) in the nature of writ of Mandamus as well as Prohibition for directing the concerned Respondents to make such plans/ policies by which the series of demolishing bridges in the state of Bihar can be stopped and the hard earned money of the citizens can be protected from being wasted. And/or

(ii) For issuance of further order(s) / direction(s) or writ(s) in the nature of writ of Mandamus directing the Respondents to blacklist all such construction companies (like Respondent No. 5) whose services were not found adequate & proper because of which the bridges & roads constructed by them got collapsed in the past. And/or

(iii) For issuance of further order(s) / direction(s) or writ(s) in the nature of Prohibition for the sake of prohibiting Respondent No. 5 and all other such dark history having companies from constructing any further bridge in the state of Bihar and their participation in any further bid/ tender against any construction work in the upcoming future. And/or

(iv) For issuance of further order(s) / direction(s) or writ(s) in the nature of Certiorari for imposing huge costs upon the Respondents concerned



because of whose loose approach the bridges got collapsed in the state of Bihar and also for ordering them to ensure utmost sincerity & care in their works in the upcoming future along with proper & adequate maintenance of the previously built bridges so that the state of Bihar may not see any further demolition/collapse of bridges in the upcoming time. And/or

(v) For issuance of any further order (s) / direction (s) or writ(s) which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the instant case."

2. Ordinarily in respect of entertaining Public Interest

Litigation with certain directions to be issued to the concerned authorities. The petitioner is required to approach the concerned authority insofar as readdressing the grievance. In the event, of inaction on the part of the official respondents, then only the petitioner has remedy of filing writ petition seeking Writ of Mandamus.

3. Perusal of the file, it is evident that the petitioner has not approached this Court without approaching the concerned authority. Accordingly, the present CWJC No. 9915 of 2024 stands disposed of. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned authority in respect of his grievance.

4. Respondents are requested to pass suitable order on the petitioner's representation or application if it is filed within a



period of three months from the date of receipt of such application. The present petition is de-linked from CWJC No. 8095 of 2025. CWJC No. 9915 of 2024 stands disposed of in the above terms.

RE: CWJC No. 8095 of 2025

5. Respondents are hereby directed to furnish complete documents along with supplementary affidavit filed on 22.09.2025 to the learned counsel for the petitioner within a period of one week from today.

6. The other official respondents if they have filed any counter affidavit or supplementary affidavit, the same shall be made available to the learned counsel for the petitioner within a period of one week.

7. The petitioner is also hereby directed to furnish if he has filed any supplementary affidavit to the other side within aforementioned time limit.

8. Relist this matter on 31.10.2025.

(P. B. Bajanthri, CJ)

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

abhishekk/-

U			
---	--	--	--

