

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11224 of 2025

=====

M/s Ambe Infra having office at Prabhawati Enclave, Flat no.401, Road No.1, Radhe Krishna Mandir Marg, Shivpuri, Patna, P.S- Shastri Nagar, Patna- 800023 represented through its authorized representative namely, Mr. Nirmal Kumar Singh, male, aged about 51 years, Son of Late Ramchandra Singh, resident of Rekha Kunj, Radha Krishna Mandir Marg, Shivpuri, P.S.- Shastri Nagar, Patna- 800023.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Bihar, Patna.
2. Additional Chief Secretary, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Engineer-in-Chief cum Additional Commissioner cum Special Secretary, Building Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Managing Director, Building Construction Corporation, Bihar, Patna.
5. The Chief General Manager, Building Construction Corporation, Bihar, Patna.
6. General Manager, Building Construction Corporation, Bihar, Patna.
7. Bihar Medical Services Infrastructure Corporation Limited, Bihar, Patna.
8. Deputy General Manager (DGM-P), Bihar Medical Services Infrastructure Corporation Limited, Bihar, Patna.
9. Assistant Manager (P), Bihar Medical Services Infrastructure Corporation Limited, Bihar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Aditya Prakash Sahay, Advocate Ms. Ankita Kumari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ramadhar Singh, GP-25
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Lalit Kishore, Sr. Advocate Mr. Vikash Kumar, Advocate Mr. Kumar Abhimanyu Pratap, Advocate Ms. Rushali, Advocate Ms. Sakshi Singh, Advocate



=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

2 07-08-2025 Heard Mr. Aditya Prakash Sahay, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Ramadhar Singh, learned G.P.-25 for the State, Mr. Lalit Kishore, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. Vikash Kumar, learned counsel and Mr. Kumar Abhimanyu Pratap, learned counsel for the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, under instruction, seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with a view to file appeal before the appellate authority. It is further submitted that he will prefer the appeal within a period of one week from today before the appellate authority.

3. Permission for withdrawal of petition, as prayed for, is granted.

4. The writ petition stands disposed of as withdrawn.

5. If the petitioner files an appeal before the appellate authority within a period of one week from today, the concerned appellate authority shall decide the same, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the appeal.

6. It is clarified that we have not examined the merits



of the case of the petitioner.

7. Interlocutory Application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Vipul M. Pancholi, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/Saurabh

U			
---	--	--	--

