

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46412 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-210 Year-2018 Thana- KHAIRA District- Jamui

Sapan Manjhi @ Talo @ Sapan Hembram S/O Manjhala Hembram Resident
of Village- Chilkakhar, P.S- Charakapathar, Sono, Dist.- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Amrendra Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Anurag Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 17-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Khaira P.S. Case No. 210 of 2018, dated 02.06.2018, for the offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 458, 341, 342, 323, 324, 302, 506/120(B) of the Indian Penal Code, Sections 27 of the Arms Act and Sections 16,17, 18, 19, 20, 21 and 22 of the U.A.P. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 01.06.2018, the petitioner and the co-accused persons along with 25-30 unknown naxalites armed with deadly weapons like A.K. 47 came to the village, Khalari and entered the house of Ritlal Yadav and took him, his son and grandson away and assaulted



them. The co-accused persons namely Situ Koda, Pintu Rana, Darogi Yadav and Arvind Yadav fired on Ritlal Yadav which hit his head, back and armpit due to which he died on the spot. There were women in the naxalites team under the guidance of Karuna Di. The petitioner and the co-accused persons along with 20-30 unknown miscreants were also the member of the naxalites group.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. The other co-accused person has already been granted bail by this court *vide* order dated 15.02.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 38085/2023. The petitioner has six antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 17.11.2022.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Jamui in connection with Khaira P.S. Case No. 210 of 2018, with the conditions:-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

(ii). If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in the future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

