

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1971 of 2024
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17772 of 2022

1. Rakesh Kumar Son of Shri Saryug Prasad, Resident of Village- Raja Bigha, P.O.- Berni, P.S.- Dhanarua, District- Patna.
2. Subhash Kumar, Son of Shri Amresh Prasad, Resident of Village- Ramnagar, P.O.- G.P.O, P.S.- Jakkanpur, District- Patna.
3. Sudarshan Kumar, Son of Shri Suresh Prasad, Resident of Village- Dahibhatta, P.O.- Usmanchak, P.S.- Masaurhi, District- Patna.
4. Pramod Prasad, Son of Shri Bhorik Prasad, Resident of Village- Pakri, P.O.- Bhaiswan, P.S.- Masaurhi, District- Patna.
5. Ravindra Kumar, Son of Shri Mahendra Prasad, Resident of Village- Nauabad, P.O. and P.S.- Masaurhi, District- Patna.
6. Jitendra Kumar, Son of Shri Ram Yadav, Resident of Village- Nisiam, P.O.- Usmanchak, P.S.- Masaurhi, District- Patna.
7. Vijay Singh Singar, Son of Shri Jwala Prasad Singh, Resident of Village and P.O.- Pirbarhauna, P.S.- Daniyama, District- Patna.
8. Rajesh Kumar, Son of Shri Krishna Saw, Resident of Village and P.O.- Pir Barhauna, P.S.- Daniyama, District- Patna.
9. Raj Kumar, Son of Ramnandan Prasad, Resident of Village and P.O.- Pir Barhauna, P.S.- Daniyama, District- Patna.
10. Ramnarayan Yadav, Son of Late Ramswarup Yadav, Resident of Village- Daultabad, P.O.- Panditganj, P.S.- Kadirganj, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna. Bihar, Patna.
2. The Divisional Commissioner, Magadh Division, District- Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Patna, District- Patna, Bihar.
4. The Director General, Grih Raksha Vahini, District- Patna, Bihar.
5. The Superintendent of Police, Patna, DSH, Patna, Bihar, Grih Raksha, Bihar.
6. The District Commandant, Grih Raksha Vahini, District- Patna, Bihar.
7. The District Welfare Officer, Patna, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shruti Sinha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Government Pleader 5

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
ORAL ORDER

4 24-01-2025 1. The present petition has been filed for restoration of
a writ petition bearing CWJC No.17772 of 2022, which has



stood dismissed on account of non-prosecution, by an order dated 16.04.2024.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the earlier counsel could not appear before this Court when the aforesaid case was called out for hearing on 16.04.2024 for reasons best known to him, leading to the aforesaid writ petition having stood dismissed for default, however, the petitioners had then taken no objection from the earlier counsel and have engaged the present counsel, who submits that the aforesaid writ petition shall henceforth be prosecuted diligently, thus it is submitted that a sympathetic view be taken and the aforesaid writ petition be restored to its original file.

3. Having regard to the submission made by the learned counsel for the petitioner as also considering the averments made in the present petition, I deem it fit and proper to restore the aforesaid writ petition bearing CWJC No.17772 of 2022 to its original file.

4. The present restoration petition stands allowed.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

Saurav/-

U			
---	--	--	--

