

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40768 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2025 Thana- ARERAJ District- East Champaran

-
1. Ram Chandra Kumar Sharma @ Ram Chandra Kumar Son of Late Jhapas Sharma Resident of Mohalla - Sharma Tola, Ward No.- 6, P.S.- Areraj, District - East Champaran.
 2. Ratnesh Sharma Son of Late Vakil Sharma Resident of Mohalla - Sharma Tola, Ward No.- 6, P.S.- Areraj, District - East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saroj Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Dinesh Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

3 22-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek bail in connection with Areraj P.S. Case No. 72 of 2025 instituted for the offences under Sections 308(3), 308(4), 3(5) of the BNS and Section 37 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the accused persons including the petitioners, while in drunken state, were collecting extortion by intercepting vehicles and issuing illegal



receipts.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in the present case. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioners were collecting the money upon the direction of one Abhinav Gaurav @ Ashu Kumar who got the Work Order regarding collecting money from the vehicles through Memo No. 354 on 23.04.2025 and in support of his submission, he has annexed the said Work Order Memo as Annexure-P/2 to the present bail application. Learned counsel further contended that petitioners had not consumed the liquor on the alleged date and time of the incident. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioners that the petitioners are in custody since 11.04.2025 and have no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

7. Let the petitioners be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Ten thousand) each with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court
below/concerned Court in connection with Areraj P.S. Case No.
72 of 2025.

Alok Verma/-

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

