

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41185 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-145 Year-2025 Thana- SURYAPURA District- Rohtas

Manji Chandravanshi @ Manji Ram @ Manji Kumar S/o Chandradip
Chandravanshi @ Chandradip Ram R/o Village- Dhodhandih, P.S.-
Suryapura, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Sudhanshu Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Harendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
:	Mr. Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 29-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State. Perused
the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Suryapur P.S. Case No. 145 of 2025 instituted for the offences
under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109,
303(2), 351(2), 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Accusation against the accused persons including
the petitioner is of assaulting the informant and his family
members by means of different weapons, causing serious injury
on his forehead. It is further alleged that one Lalji Ram
assaulted Rabindra Sah by means of *Gahdal* on his head.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. The only specific allegation against the petitioner is that he assaulted the informant by means of iron rod, but there is no injury report on record to substantiate the claim. Learned counsel further submitted that there is land dispute between the parties. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 12.05.2025 and has no criminal antecedent. The co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 19.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 40099 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, claim based on parity as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing



bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Suryapur P.S. Case No. 145 of 2025.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

