

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40099 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-145 Year-2025 Thana- SURYAPURA District- Rohtas

Lalji Ram @ Lalji Chandravanshi @ Lalji Kumar S/o Chandradeep
Chandravanshi R/o Village- Dhodhandih, P.S.- Suryapura, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Sudhanshu Kumar, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP
For the Informant :	Mr. Raghunandan kumar Singh, Adv.
	Ms. Riya Singh, Adv.
	Mr. Harendra Kumar Singh, Adv.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 19-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State as also learned counsel for the Informant.

Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Suryapura P.S. Case No. 145 of 2025 instituted for the offences
under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 303(2),
351(2) and 352 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per prosecution case, the accusation against the
accused persons including the petitioner is of assaulting the
informant and his family members by means of different
weapons, causing serious injury on his forehead. The specific
allegation against the petitioner is of assaulting Rabindra Sah by



means of *Gahdal* on his head.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence as alleged against him and has falsely been implicated in the present case. There is a case and counter case between the parties. The present case is the counter blast of Suryapura P.S. Case No. 144 of 2025 filed by the petitioner. He further submits that the injury sustained by Rabindra Sah is simple in nature. However, the doctor has found the injury grievous in nature on the basis of the CT-Scan. There is no specific or direct allegation of any overt act against the petitioner rather the same is general and omnibus in nature. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is languishing in judicial custody since 03.05.2025 without any rhymes or reason.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State and the Informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner, stating that the offence alleged is serious in nature.

6. Having heard rival contention of both the parties and considering the entire facts and circumstances of the case as also taking into account the period of custody of the petitioner and the petitioner having no criminal antecedent, let the



petitioner, abovenamed, be released on bail, *after framing of charge if not already framed*, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.15,000/- (Fifteen thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Suryapura P.S. Case No. 145 of 2025, subject to the following conditions;

(i) One of the bailor(s) shall be the own/close family members of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

