

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9924 of 2025

Prashant Kumar @ Prashant Singh Son of Sri Ramlakhan Singh, Residing at
Village Bijwanpar, P.S. Deepnagar, District- Nalanda (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through, The Chief Executive Officer, Indian Railway,
Rail Bhavan, New Delhi
2. The Divisional Railway Manager, Danapur Division, East Central Railway,
Danapur, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, District- Nalanda, Bihar.
4. The Project Director, National Highway Authority of India, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sunil Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Additional Solicitor General
For Respondent No.1&2	:	Mr. Ram Vikram Singh, Adv.
For Respondent No.4	:	Mr. Sriram Krishna, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 13-10-2025

In the instant petition petitioner has prayed for the
following reliefs:

*“That in the larger public interest
this is an application for issuance of an
appropriate writ, order or direction directing
the Respondents to cancel the decision of
closing the Bijwanpur Railway Crossing of
Pawapuri Road, Railway Station in the Dist.
Of Nalanda, Bihar till providing an alternative
arrangement i.e. under pass or overbridge and
further direct the Respondents to provide
underpass or overbridge as closing of Railway*



Crossing is going to affect 25,000 to 50,000 people of the locality.

And further respondents be restrained from closing the Railway Crossing Pawapuri Road, Railway Bijwanpar.

And for any other relief for which the petitioner is entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

2. Petitioner has approached the concerned authorities by submitting representations on 04.04.2025 and 05.05.2025. There is inaction on the part of the concerned respondent. In the counter affidavit in para-8 it is stated as under:

“8. That on receiving demand from local public and their representative for alternative arrangement, the matter was discussed between the Railway Authorities and NHAI Authorities. Thereafter, NHAI agreed to provide alternative arrangement at the location where L.C. Gate has been eliminated. As a result of said discussion, a Joint Inspection of Railway and NHAI authorities has been conducted to construct a Limited Height Sub-way (LHS) near Pawapuri yard.”

3. Perusal of the aforementioned pleading in para-8 one cannot draw inference what are the date and events.



4. Be that as it may, the concerned authorities are hereby directed to pass a suitable order on the petitioner’s representation within a period of four weeks from today.

5. With the above observations present petition stands disposed of. The concerned authority is hereby directed to communicate the decision to the petitioner.

(P. B. Bajanthri, CJ)

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Prakash Narayan

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	15.10.2025
Transmission Date	NA

