

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42916 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-265 Year-2024 Thana- GHORASAHAN District- East
Champaran

=====

Rupesh kumar @ Rupesh Yadav S/o Harendra Rai @ Harendra Ray R/o vill -
Baswariya, P.S.- Ghorasahan, Distt.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar No. III, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renuka Ratnakar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

6 26-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Ghorasahan P.S. Case No. 265 of 2024 instituted for the
offences under Sections 394, 397 of the Indian Penal Code and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that, three miscreants
boarded on a motorcycle intercepted the informant's brother,
fired upon him which hit him on his knee and stomach and
meantime, fled away snatching a bag from him containing Rs.
3,08,833/-.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the



petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the F.I.R. The name of the petitioner transpired in this case on the basis of his self-confessional statement recorded during course of investigation. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner has got no concern with the looted amount. Learned counsel further submitted that T.I.P. has not been conducted till date. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 14.06.2024 and has six criminal antecedents. The co-accused person has already been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 13.02.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 70396 of 2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner stating that this petitioner has himself confessed his involvement in the alleged occurrence and on the basis of disclosure of this petitioner, arms and the motorcycle used in the alleged offence has also been recovered.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also there being ample material against the petitioner in the case diary to show his involvement in the alleged occurrence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.



7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

