

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39395 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-94 Year-2025 Thana- KAUWAKOL District- Nawada

Nitish Kumar Son of Om Prakash Resident of Village -Mannpur Tola
-Ammatari ,P.S- Kauwakol Distt- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 40494 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-94 Year-2025 Thana- KAUWAKOL District- Nawada

PRAHLAD KUMAR Son of Saryug Saw Resident of Village - Mananpur,
P.S.- Kauwakol, District - Nawada (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 39395 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Indradeo Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Tapeshwar Sharma

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 40494 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajeev Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ahmad Ali

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

3 29-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection
with Kauwakol P.S. Case No. 94 of 2025, registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 117(3),
118(2), 352, 351(3), 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. The allegation against petitioner no. 1, Nitish Kumar, is
that he assaulted the informant on his left hand with iron rod. The
allegation against petitioner Prahlad Kumar, is that he assaulted the



informant on his leg with lathi, as a result of which, the injuries sustained are grievous in nature.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. He has further submitted that there is general and omnibus allegation against the petitioners. It is further submitted that the injury inflicted upon the injured persons is found to be simple in nature.

5. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the injuries suffered by the injured are grievous in nature. Both the petitioners have criminal antecedents.

6. In my view, the petitioners do not deserve the privilege of bail, which is hereby *rejected*.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Nirmal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

