

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43724 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-91 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Sheohar

=====

Brajesh Kumar S/o Sri Ajay Kishor Ray @ Ajay Ray R/o Village- Pakri, P.S.-
Piprahiu, District- Sheohar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Amrita Kumari W/O Brajesh Kumar D/O Ajay Rai R/O Vill Pakdi
Chhatauna Ward No 07 P.S. Piprahi Dist Sheohar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sunil Prasad Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Naresh Ray

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

3 12-11-2025 Heard the parties.

2. Notice as issued by this Court to OP
No. 2 refused to accept.

3. Considering the aforesaid, notice
issued by this Court deemed served validly
served upon.

4. The petitioner is named in the F.I.R.
and apprehending his arrest in connection with
Sheohar Mahila P.S. Case No. 09 of 2025
registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 126(2), 115(2), 85, 316(2), 318(2),
352, 351(2), 79, 3(5) of BNS.



5. The allegation against petitioner is to cheat informant who is none but the wife for cash of Rs. 3 lakh and also her jewelry for construction of house and when he failed to return the same to informant on demand she was further threatened to make her video viral on social media platform. It is also alleged that petitioner was in process to solemnize second marriage.

6. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner that the implications alleged due to matrimonial dispute between the parties where admittedly petitioner is husband of the informant and dispute occurred due to certain cash transaction between them which was given to petitioner for construction of their house. It is submitted that allegation *qua* solemnizing second marriage is completely unfounded and just to aggravate allegation. While concluding arguments, it is submitted that petitioner is a



man of clean antecedent.

7. Learned APP opposes the prayer of bail.

8. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact as the dispute *prima-facie* appears surfaced between the parties out of monetary transaction where petitioner is the husband of the informant, accordingly petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks, is directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned SDJM, Sheohar/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Sheohar Mahila P.S. Case No. 09 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C/ Section 482(2) of BNSS.



Sudha/-

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

