

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42635 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-515 Year-2024 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

=====

Laxmikant @ Laxmikant Kumar @ Gulshan Kumar S/o Ramanand Yadav
Resident of Village- Bairakh, Ward No.- 10, Police Station- Raniganj,
District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State of Bihar
- 2. Bablu Yadav Son of Ramanand Yadav Resident of Village- Bairakh, War No.
- 10, P.S.- Raniganj, District- Araria

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ramesh Kumar Singh
For the State	:	Mr. Yogendra Kumar
For the Informant	:	Mr. Indrajeet Kumar
		Mr. Bhola Prasad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

5 24-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as

informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Raniganj P.S. Case No. 515 of 2024, registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 96, 352, 3(5) of the BNS, 2023
and Section 12 of POCSO Act.

3. As per allegation, the petitioner along with other co-
accused persons have kidnapped the informant’s minor daughter
for the purpose of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the



present case. He has further submitted that statement of the victim was recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS which does not fully corroborate the recitals of the FIR. His further submission is that the age of the victim according to the medical examination report is 20-22 years and no any kind of injury was found on the person of the victim.

5. Learned counsel for the informant as well as State opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that according to the Bihar School Examination Board certificate, the date of birth of the victim is 10.08.2009 and at the time of occurrence, she was aged about 15 years.

6. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, in my view, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, it is rejected.

7. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below and seek regular bail. If he does so, his regular bail application shall be considered on its own merit without being prejudice by this order.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

priyanka/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

