

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41984 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2020 Thana- VALMIKINAGAR District- West
Champaran

=====

Mankeshwar Yadav @ Mukti Yadav @ Mukti @ Makeshwar @ Khurana @
Mankeshwar Khurana @ Makeshwar Yadav S/O Late Vim Yadav R/O
Village- Telhi, P.S.- Bithan, Dist.- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Purna Anand

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 10-09-2025 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Valmikinagar P.S. Case No. 03 of 2020 registered for the
offence under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 342, 427, 323, 504,
506 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 10, 12, 13 of the
U.A.P. Act.

3. Prosecution case as lodged by the police personnel
is that on secret information that 12-15 Naxalites armed with
weapons abused and assaulted Algoo Yadav in village
Dhangrahiya and they also broke the glass of his bolero and
mirror of tractor and motorcycle, he along with other police
reached there and inquired about the incident. Algoo Yadav
stated that 12-15 persons in military dress armed with modern



weapons came to his house and abused and assaulted him physically and thereafter they entered his house and locked the family members in the room. It is also alleged that they were all in age group of about 20-35 years and there was one female also in the group. All the accused persons also threatened Algoo Yadav not to report in police as they are Naxalites Lal Salam. The name of the petitioner has come in the self inculpatory statement of the co-accused. The petitioner is accused in four more similar and serious cases.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. The petitioner is in custody since 21.07.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and the fact that the petitioner is an active naxal, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is hereby rejected.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

anand/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

