

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39998 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-293 Year-2023 Thana- KESARIA District- East Champaran

Satan Mahto S/o Rama Mahto Resident of Village- Sundrapur Malahi Tola,
Ward No 14, Police Station- Kesariya, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Karandeep Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 26-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Kesariya P.S. Case No. 293 of 2023 instituted for the offences
under Sections 304B, 120B of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
alongwith the family members tortured and killed the deceased
for the non-fulfillment of demand of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Petitioner is the husband of the deceased. Learned counsel for
the petitioner submits that general and omnibus allegation has
been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged



against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that, as a matter of fact, the deceased herself committed suicide and this petitioner has no role in the alleged offence. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 05.04.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner stating that petitioner is the husband of the deceased upon whom the onus lies.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also the petitioner being the husband of the deceased, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

