

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40226 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-875 Year-2022 Thana- AURANGABAD COMPLAINT CASE
District- Aurangabad

=====

Deepak Kumar Pandey S/O Suresh Pandey Resident of Village-Murli Belai,
PS- Nabinagar, District- Aurangabad, Bihar

1. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Amrita Kumari D/O Brajesh Pandey Resident of Village and PO-Naur, PS-
Nabinagar, District- Aurangabad, Bihar

... .. Opposite Parties

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Shailesh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party State:		Mr. Manoj Kumar, APP
For the Opposite Party No.2:		Ms. Nutan Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 03-11-2025 Heard Mr. Shailesh Kumar Singh, learned Advocate

for the petitioner, Mr. Manoj Kumar, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State and Ms. Nutan Jha, learned Advocate

for opposite party No.2.

2. The petitioner happens to be the husband of

opposite party No.2 and is apprehending his arrest in connection

with Aurangabad Complaint Case No. 875/2022, instituted for

the offences punishable under Sections 323, 498(A) of the

Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner submitted that

the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with opposite

party No.2 on 26.04.2016. However, on account of some family



feud and instigation made by unscrupulous persons, the present complaint case came to be instituted by making allegation of torture and demand of dowry, which has been completely denied by learned Advocate for the petitioner.

4. It is further contended that since the complainant does not want to live with the petitioner, the present complaint case has been instituted with a view to put pressure and wreck vengeance though the petitioner has always been ready to keep his wife with full dignity and affection.

5. On the last occasion when the matter was heard, with the consent of the parties, it was referred to the mediation center. However, despite the sincere efforts, the dispute between the parties could not be resolved.

6. Learned Advocate for the opposite party No.2 submits that because of the conduct and misbehavior of the petitioner, the opposite party No. 2 is not in a position to reside with him; moreover, she has also not been accorded any financial assistance for so many years and, thus, she has been compelled to starve.

7. Learned Advocate for the petitioner on instructions submits that the petitioner is ready to pay an amount of Rs.5,000.00 (five thousand) per month to opposite party No.2.



8. The opposite party No.2 shall furnish bank account to the petitioner, who shall deposit five thousand rupees in every last week of the month from November, 2025 till any order is passed by the competent court.

9. Having considered the submissions of learned Advocates for the respective parties and the undertaking advanced by the petitioner, let the petitioner abovenamed be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order, upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad, in connection with Aurangabad Complaint Case No. 875/2022, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, and also subject to fulfillment of his undertaking.

(Harish Kumar, J)

Pawan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

