

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44764 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-134 Year-2024 Thana- BHAGWAN BAZAR District- Saran

Santosh Kumar @ Santosh Rai S/O Triloki Rai R/O Village- Jantola, PS-
Revilganj, Distt-Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 13-10-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Bhagwan Bazar P.S. Case No. 134 of 2024, instituted for the
offences punishable under Section 366(A) of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
along with other co-accused persons have enticed away minor
daughter of the sister-in-law of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case.
Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the
allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and fabricated.
The petitioner and victim had love affair in between them and



the victim has also recorded her statement under Section 164 of Cr.P.C. in which she has stated that she went to Delhi on her own will and started living with the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 08.09.2024 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner of kidnapping the victim. It is further submitted that the trial is in progress and three witnesses have already been examined in this case. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence as also present stage of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial and conclude the same preferably within a period of two months. However, if the trial is not concluded within a period of two months from the date of receipt/production, the petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Rajorshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

