

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41287 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-10 Year-2025 Thana- GOVINDGANJ District- East Champaran

Sudha Kumari D/O Rabindra Pandey R/O Village-Sadipur, PS- Goraiya
Kothi, Distt-Siwan(Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Dy. SP, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, Patna Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Ajay Mishra, APP
For the OP no. 2	:	Mr. Arvind Kumar (Law Officer), Spl. PP
		Mr. Paritosh Parimal, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

3 08-10-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of anticipatory bail apprehending her arrest in connection with Govindganj P.S. Case no. 10 of 2025 registered under sections 467, 468, 420, 471 and 120B of Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the allegation against the petitioner is that having forged her degree of having cleared the BETET, 2011 by deleting 'not' in 'not qualified' and having interpolated the marks, she obtained appointment on the post of Block teacher. The forgery committed by the petitioner subsequently having been discovered, the instant FIR has been registered.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the case. No such forgery as alleged has been committed by her. Further in reference to the statement made in paragraph no. 8 of the petition, it is submitted that the petitioner is no longer working on the post of Block teacher and as per oral instructions received, has been removed/terminated from service on 2.6.2023. The petitioner who is a lady having no criminal antecedent undertakes to cooperate in the investigation/trial.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned Special Public Prosecutor for the Vigilance Investigation Bureau. It is submitted that the allegations of having committed forgery are specific and direct against the petitioner and the evidence which is documentary cannot be refuted by her. It is not a case for grant of anticipatory bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the nature of allegation against the petitioner in the F.I.R. and specially the statement made in paragraph no. 8 of the petition which is to the effect that the petitioner is no longer in service together with the petitioner not having any criminal antecedent, in the facts of the case, it is directed that the petitioner, above named, in the event of her



arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks, be released on anticipatory bail in connection with Govindganj P.S. Case no. 10 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-Ist, East Champaran, Motihari on the following conditions:

(i) The petitioner shall make herself available to the investigating agency as and when required.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain properly represented in the learned Court below and shall cooperate in the case/trial.

(iii) In case of violation of any of the conditions or in case the learned Court is of the opinion that the trial is being delayed due to non-cooperation on part of the petitioner, the learned trial Court may cancel the bail bond of the petitioner and take her into custody till conclusion of the trial.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

saauravkrsinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

