

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40774 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-245 Year-2025 Thana- KANKARBAG District- Patna

Sujit Ranjan @ Sujit Ranjan Kumar S/o Late Ramhari Prasad R/o Village-
Saidpur, Brahmin Temple, Atnauwan, P.S.-Barh, District-Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 25-07-2025 Heard Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, learned APP for the

State.

2. The instant application for anticipatory bail has been
filed by the petitioner apprehending his arrest in connection
with Kankarbagh P.S. Case No. 245 of 2025 instituted for the
offence under Section 310(2) of the B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner
along with others have looted Rupees One crore from the
informant which was the consideration money of the plot.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has
committed no offence. He has falsely been implicated in this
case. He also submits that the petitioner has annexed the



boarding pass of 17.03.2025 and has also annexed the bill of the Hotel City Walk, Bhopal. It has also been submitted that these documents were shown to the I.O. as well but without any investigation, the I.O. has concluded that petitioner is the conspirator and he has willingly kept himself out of Station to show his innocence. The documents which has been annexed by the petitioner shows that on the date of the occurrence, he was not in Patna. From perusal of the order of the trial court, it transpires that the anticipatory bail of this petitioner has been rejected on the assumption that he is the conspirator. He next submits that one of the co-accused who was apprehended, has been granted bail by the trial court itself. It has lastly been submitted that a statement has been made in para-3 of this petition that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent.

5. In contra, learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner's name finds place in the list of persons who are alleged to have looted the said amount.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The petitioner is directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of



four weeks from today and in the event of his arrest or surrender in connection with Kankarbagh P.S. Case No. 245 of 2025 he will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. XI, Patna, subject to the conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

