

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41063 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-204 Year-2023 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - PUPRI District-
Sitamarhi

=====

Ram Lal Paswan S/O Late Biltu Paswan R/O village - Kumma @ Kumma
ward no. 1, P.S. - Sursand, Dist. - Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Shiv Kaliya Devi @ Sikilla Devi @ Sikila Devi W/O Ram Ashish Mandal
@ Ramaashish Mandal R/O village - Kumma, P.S. - Sursand, Dist. -
Sitamarhi

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Santosh Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Shakir Ahmad

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 04-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence under Section of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 19.08.2023 at 7
PM, the accused persons surrounded informant/O.P.No-2 and
told her as *Dian* which was protested by her and thereafter, the
accused persons caught hold her hairs, abused her and also cut
her hairs. The accused persons also puller her *saree*. Co-accused
Manju Devi and Kalasiya Devi snatched her silver *Hansuli*. It is
further alleged that when husband of the complainant came to



save her, he was also assaulted by the accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case due to village politics. There is general and omnibus allegation. As a matter of fact, petitioner and complainant are of same village and due to petty dispute, petitioner and others have been made accused. He further submits that as per complaint petition, date of occurrence is 19.08.2023, whereas case has been lodged belatedly on 24.08.2023 without any plausible explanation of delay. There is no injury report on record to substantiate the allegation. Rest of the allegation is ornamental in order to make the case grave.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, in the event of arrest/surrender before the Court below within a period of eight weeks from today, let the above named petitioner be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Pupri, Sitamarhi / competent Court in connection with Complaint Case No. C1- 204/ 2023, Trial no. 4106 of 2025, subject to condition



as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. / Section
482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

