

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41222 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-35 Year-2025 Thana- MANSI District- Khagaria

-
1. Ankit Kumar S/o Vijay Yadav @ Vijay Kumar Yadav R/o Village- Saidpur, P.S- Mansi, District-Khagaria
 2. Amrit Kumar S/o Vijay Yadav @ Vijay Kumar Yadav R/o Village- Saidpur, P.S- Mansi, District-Khagria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vivekanand Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kalyan Shankar

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 09-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 35 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 352, 329(4), 109, 115(2), 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita and 27 of the Arms Act.

3. As per prosecution case, petitioners and others are said to have abused the informant and when same was protested, petitioner no.2 and other are said to have assaulted upon the head of the informant by *butt* of illicit weapon as a result of which informant sustained injury.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence as alleged in the FIR and they have falsely been implicated in this case on account of village politics and also there is a land dispute between the parties. Learned counsel further submits that petitioners bear no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that there is no specific allegation against petitioner no. 1, though, there is allegation against petitioner no. 2 that petitioner no. 2 and other have assaulted upon the head of the informant by means of butt of weapon but the injury sustained by the informant is simple in nature. Apart from that, petitioners bear no criminal antecedent. He further submits that allegations against the petitioners are general and omnibus in nature.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners as there is allegation against petitioner no. 2 who is said to have assaulted upon the head of the informant.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping in view clean antecedent of petitioners, argument advanced on behalf of both sides and also taking into consideration the material available on record, the petitioners



above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Khagaria in connection with Mansi P.S. Case No. 35 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S.

(Alok Kumar Pandey, J)

vashudha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

