

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.55201 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-68 Year-2023 Thana- FOREST (GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL)
District- Vaishali

=====

Suresh Thakur @ Suresh Sharma S/O Gaya Thakur R/O Vill.- Rasulpur
Korigaon, P.s.- Goraul, Dist.- Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Dilip Kumar Singh, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Shakir Ahmad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 02-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 33, 41, 42 and 52 of Indian Forest Act, 1927 Bihar Amendment 1990 and under Sections 05, 08, 09, 11, 12 and 13 of Bihar Saw Mills (Regulation) Act, 1990.

3. In view of the explanation furnished by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the defect, as pointed out by the office, is hereby ignored.

4. It is next submitted that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the complaint, it would manifest that in sum and substance the



allegation is of seizure of an illegal saw mill running in the forest.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the complainant. It is further submitted that the saw mill does not belong to the petitioner, but since he is a resident of a nearby place, as such, he came to be implicated. It is also submitted that petitioner does not deal in business of woods. It is next submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the trial to prove his innocence.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Case No. C2- 68 of 2023 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.



8. It is made clear that if the learned Trial Court comes to a conclusion that petitioner, after his release, is trying to delay the framing of charge or after framing of charge is delaying the trial, in both the conditions, the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

