

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.46610 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-63 Year-2024 Thana- GARKHA District- Saran

1. Rambaran Rai S/O Kalapnath Rai @ Kalaf Nath Ray R/O village - Kasina, P.S.- Garkha, District- Saran
2. Ajay Singh S/O Kirtan Singh R/O village - Kasina, P.S.- Garkha, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hemant Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Nazir Ansari

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 22-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have antecedent of one case and allegation is of recovery of 70 litres of liquor from Kasina Chur. It is next submitted that petitioners were not arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from their conscious possession and even the alleged recovery is from a place which does not belong to the petitioners and is accessible to public at large and they came to be implicated based on secret information/chowkidar.
4. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.



5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.5000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Garkha P.S. Case No. 63/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C.

6. It is made clear that thereafter the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioners and in the event if it is found that petitioners have antecedent of more than one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioners had concealed their antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed with but if on verification it is found that petitioners have antecedent of one case, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

