

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9286 of 2025

1. Rajeev Kumar Son of Ram Ashok Singh, Resident of village- Narumurar, Block-Warisaliganj, Police Station- Nawada Town, District- Nawada, Bihar.
2. Raushan Kumar, Son of Kavindra Singh, Resident of village- Narumurar, Block-Warisaliganj, Police Station- Nawada Town, District- Nawada, Bihar.
3. Manohar Kumar, Son of Jay Ram Singh, Resident of village- Narumurar, Block-Warisaliganj, Police Station- Nawada Town, District- Nawada, Bihar.
4. Bhupendra Kumar, Son of Mundrika Singh, Resident of village- Narumurar, Block-Warisaliganj, Police Station- Nawada Town, District- Nawada, Bihar.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Additional Chief Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate cum Collector, District - Nawada.
4. The District Panchayat Officer, District - Nawada.
5. The District Fisheries Officer Cum Executive Officer, District - Nawada.
6. The Deputy Collector Land Reform, Nawada Sadar, District - Nawada.
7. The Sub Divisional Officer, Warisaliganj, District - Nawada.
8. The Block Development Officer, Block- Warisaliganj, District - Nawada.
9. The Block Panchayati Raj Officer, Block- Warisaliganj, District - Nawada.
10. The Circle Officer, Block- Warisaliganj, District - Nawada.
11. The Executive Engineer, Building Division, District - Nawada.
12. The Mukhiya Gram Panchyat Kutri, Block- Warisaliganj, District- Nawada.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gaurav Kumar Verma, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Standing Counsel (24)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 18-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
counsel for the Respondent-State.

2. Considering the nature of prayer being made by the
learned counsel for the petitioners, this writ application is being



disposed of at this stage itself.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the place where the *Panchayat Sarkar Bhawan* is being constructed is a pond settled in favour of *Bandobasti Matsyajeevi Sahyog Samiti Limited* (Annexure-P/11 to the writ application) and, therefore, he submits that it is not being constructed at a proper place. In this regard, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he has already given a representation to the District Magistrate, Nawada on 15.05.2025 which is enclosed as Annexure-P/14 to the writ application. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he would be satisfied if the District Magistrate, Nawada is directed to dispose of his representation after hearing the petitioners, by passing a reasoned and speaking order within a specified time.

4. To this prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned counsel for the Respondent-State does not have any objection.

5. In view of the nature of prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, this writ application is being disposed of directing the District Magistrate, Nawada to hear the petitioners and dispose of the representation dated 15.05.2025 on merit by passing a reasoned and speaking order within three



weeks from the date of production of a copy of this order.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this writ application is disposed of.

7. All pending Interlocutory Applications, if any will be deemed to have been disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

