

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41227 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-43 Year-2024 Thana- Manikpur P.S District- Arwal

1. Raushan Kumar S/O Lal Mohan Singh R/O Village- Chhatoi, PS-Manikpur, District-Arwal
2. Manikant Kumar @ Manikant Singh S/O Lal Mohan Singh R/O Village- Chhatoi, PS-Manikpur, District-Arwal

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate
		Mr. Gajendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY

ORAL ORDER

3 08-10-2025

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioners have preferred this application for grant of anticipatory bail apprehending their arrest in connection with Manikpur P.S. Case no.43 of 2024 registered under sections 307, 323, 341, 379, 504 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, over a dispute between the parties it is stated that while the petitioner no.1 assaulted the father of the informant namely Anil Singh, the petitioner no.2 is said to have assaulted one Ajay Singh with a *lathi* and an iron rod.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners have been falsely implicated in the case.



There is case and counter case between the parties and the correct version having been narrated in the case lodged by the petitioner no.1, F.I.R. of which is Annexure-2 to the petition. The injury report does not support the prosecution case. The manner of occurrence is other than what has been narrated in the F.I.R.

5. The application for anticipatory bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State who submits that so far as the injuries on the injured are concerned, while the injury on Anil Singh, in the opinion of the doctor, is opined to be grievous in nature, so far as the injury on Ajay Singh is concerned, there is a lacerated wound on the head/skull/occipital region which substantiate the allegations levelled in the F.I.R.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the allegations against the petitioners in the F.I.R together with the injury reports of the injured, the Court is not inclined to enlarge either of the petitioners on anticipatory bail and the application is rejected.

7. Both the petitioners are directed to surrender in the learned Court below within a period of four weeks.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

