

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41577 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-01 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA PS District- Khagaria

Sachin Kumar, Male, aged about 21 years, Son of Nitesh Das, Resident of
Village- Kashimpur, Ward No. 05, P.S.- Khagaria (Gangour), District-
Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the O.P. No. 2	:	Mr. Dhiraj Sagar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 15-10-2025 Heard Mr. Santosh Kumar Singh, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner; Mr. Dhiraj Sagar, learned
counsel for the O.P. No. 2 and Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad, learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Mahila P.S. Case No. 01 of 2025, registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 354(B), 379, 506, 34 of the Indian
Penal Code and Section 67 of the I.T. Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the petitioner



had committed wrong with the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and learned counsel for the opposite party no. 2 jointly informed this Court that the parties have settled their dispute by performing marriage with each other and are living together happily, therefore, in light of the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of *Naushey Ali vs. State of U.P.* reported in **(2025) 4 SCC 78** further proceeding will only be vexatious.

7. Considering the aforesaid information given on behalf of the parties, as well as, the law laid down by the Apex Court, without going into the merits of the case, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending, in connection with Mahila P.S. Case No. 01 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioner as what has been stated in



paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

