

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38818 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-210 Year-2025 Thana- CHAINPUR District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

=====

Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Gond S/O Munnan Gond @ Munn Gond Resident of
Village- Dubey Ke Saraiya, P.S.- Chainpur, District- Kaimur at Bhabua

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sarita Devi W/O Munna Bind Resident of Village- Dubey Ke Saraiya, P.S.-
Chainpur, District- Kaimur at Bhabua

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar, Advocate Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Rajiv Nayan, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 03-09-2025 Heard Mr. Pranav Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Rajiv Nayan, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Chainpur P.S. Case No. 210 of 2025 registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 352,
76 of the B.N.S., 2023 and Sections 8 and 12 of the POCSO
Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner has
attempted to outrage the modesty of the victim, who is a minor
girl.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the course of the investigation, the victim girl gave her statement recorded under Section 180 of the BNSS, wherein she stated that the petitioner attempted to outrage her modesty. It has also been submitted that there is some land dispute between the petitioner and the mother of the victim girl, due to which an altercation took place between them, and that is why the petitioner has falsely been implicated. Be that as it may, the only allegation is that the petitioner attempted to outrage her modesty. He further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 26.04.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special



Judge, Kaimur at Bhabua in connection with Chainpur P.S. Case
No. 210 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

