

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38835 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2025 Thana- JALALPUR District- Saran

Chandan Ram @ Chandan Kumar Ram S/o Rajendra Ram R/o Vill-
Bhatkeshri (Jalalpur), P.S.- Jalalpur, Distt- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Aashish Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Aslam Ansari

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in connection with Jalalpur P.S. Case No. 50/2025 dated 20.03.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, Section 109 of the B.N.S. and Section 27 of the Arms Act and later Sections 132, 121(1) and 121(2) of B.N.S. were added.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 172.800 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered from the motorcycle and Scorpio.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in



this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. As per para-6 of the bail petition, the petitioner is not the owner of the said vehicles. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has one antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 12.05.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 30171 of 2025. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



of the case as well as the material available on record, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned, Saran in connection with Jalalpur P.S. Case No. 50/2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S., with further condition:-

(i) The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner are liable to be cancelled.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

