

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41634 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-255 Year-2025 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Rajdev Saw @ Rajdeo Sao @ Rajdeo Shah @ Dhondha, Son of Guletan Sao,
Resident of Village- Dhandhar Bigha, P.S.- Kalpa (Jehanabad), District-
Jehanabad, Pin Code-804429 (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Uday Kumar, Advocate.

For the State : Mr. Anand Kishore Choudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 15-11-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, apprehending his arrest, in connection with Jehanabad (Kalpa) P.S. Case No. 255 of 2025 dated 01.04.2025, registered for the offence punishable under Section 108 of B.N.S., 2023.

3. There is allegation of abatement of suicide of 26 years old daughter of the informant. As per the FIR, the husband of the deceased/daughter of the informant, Pramod Sah developed illicit relationship with another lady and on account of such misconduct, the daughter of the informant committed suicide. It is also alleged that the co-accused



who is husband of the deceased used to torture the deceased on account of her protest. As per further allegation, the petitioner who is father-in-law of the deceased was also party to the assault against the deceased. As per allegation, when the deceased was going to lodge criminal case against her husband on account of illicit relationship and cruelty committed against her, she was abused by the petitioner and he also did not oppose such misbehaviour of his son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the Petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. He further submits that the petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased and he is nothing to do with the commission of suicide by the deceased. He also submits that the main allegation is against co-accused who is husband of the deceased. The allegation against the petitioner is false and frivolous and intended to harass the whole family on account of mishappening. He is separate in mess and business and nothing to do with the alleged offence.

5. It is also stated in paragraph no. 2 of the bail petition that the petitioner has not moved this Court earlier



either for anticipatory bail or regular one in the instant case.

6. It has further been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail petition that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents.

7. However, learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer of the Petitioner for bail.

8. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, **this petition is allowed**, directing the petitioner, above-named, to be enlarged on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of a copy of this order, on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000 /- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned concerned court below in connection with Jehanabad (Kalpa) P.S. Case No. 255 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S 2023, and on the following conditions:

(i) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that the petitioner has any criminal antecedent, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the



petitioner after hearing him and getting satisfied that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents despite his knowledge of the same.

(ii) In case, it is brought to the notice of the court below that statement regarding previous bail petition is wrong, learned court below shall cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Jitendra Kumar, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

