

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39246 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-30 Year-2025 Thana- PIPRIYA District- Lakhisarai

1. Manjay Kumar Mahto @ Manjay Lal Son of Naresh Mahto Resident of Bypass South Dhadka, Taposi Mandir, P.S.-North Asansol, District-Barddhaman, West Bengal.
2. Rakesh Kumar Ram @ Kuku Son of Arun Ram @ Kano Saw Resident of Bypass South Dhadka, Taposi Mandir, P.S.-North Asansol, District-Barddhaman, West Bengal.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.. Ankita Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and

learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioners have preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Pipariya P.S. Case No. 30 of 2025 dated 21.03.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/s 30(a) and 32 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and Sections 319(2), 318(4), 303(2), 317(5), 338, 336(3) read with 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The prosecution case in short is that, police intercepted one Innova Car in which petitioners were found sitting. They informed they are coming after the delivery of



illicit liquor to one co-accused Chandan Kumar, upon conducting the raid on the Bathan of co-accused Chandan Kumar total 268.5 litres of illicit foreign liquor was recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that the petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in this case. The petitioners have no concern with the alleged recovery. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioners. It is submitted that petitioners were driver and co-driver of the said vehicle. The petitioner no. 2 has no criminal antecedent and petitioner no. 1 has one criminal antecedent stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioners are in custody since 21.03.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State have vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioners above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to



the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, in connection
with Pipariya P.S. Case No. 30 of 2025.

7. This application is allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Raj Ranjan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

