

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39186 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-294 Year-2022 Thana- JAYNAGAR District- Madhubani

1. Ranju Devi W/o Dinesh Yadav Resident of Village- Dhanjiya P.S- Ladaniya, District -Madhubani
2. Lakshmi Kumari D/o Dinesh Yadav Resident of Village- Dhanjiya P.S- Ladaniya, District -Madhubani
3. Saraswati Kumari D/o Dinesh Yadav Resident of Village- Dhanjiya P.S- Ladaniya, District -Madhubani
4. Raslal Yadav S/o Late Ramkaran Yadav Resident of Village- Kamalabari Godhiyari, P.S- Jaynagar, District -Madhubani
5. Sumitra Devi @ Suniya Devi W/o Raslal Yadav Resident of Village- Kamalabari Godhiyari, P.S- Jaynagar, District -Madhubani
6. Phul Kumar Yadav S/o Jaykaran Yadav Resident of Village- Kamalabari Godhiyari, P.S- Jaynagar, District -Madhubani

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar Advocate General Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav, Advocate
		Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 01-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
APP for the State.

2. The instant application for anticipatory bail has been filed by the petitioners apprehending their arrest in connection with Jaynagar P.S. Case No. 294 of 2022 instituted for the offence under Sections 448, 341, 323, 354(B), 379, 427, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioners



along with others being armed with *lathi*, *danda* and iron rod arrived at the door of the informant surrounded him and started assaulting. There is a specific allegation against one of the co-accused Dinesh Kumar Yadav who assaulted with iron rod to Deepak.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners has submitted that petitioners are innocent and have committed no offence. They have falsely been implicated in this case. The nature of allegation against these petitioners is general and omnibus. As far as taking away the cash and ornaments is concerned, it seems to be ornamental.

5. In contra, learned APP appearing for the State has has vehemently opposed the prayer of bail of the petitioners and has got no objection in the argument that the main thrust of allegation is against co-accused Dinesh Kumar Yadav.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and in the facts and circumstances of the case as stated above, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioners on bail. The petitioners are directed to surrender in the Court below within a period of four weeks from today and in the event of their arrest or surrender in connection with Jaynagar P.S. Case No. 294 of 2022, they will be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of



Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Madhubani subject to the conditions as laid down under section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

