

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39438 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-190 Year-2024 Thana- DIGHWARA District- Saran

Vishwa Mohan Singh @ Mantu Singh @ Bishwamohan S/o Indra Mani Singh
R/o vill - Ramdas Chak, P.S.- Dighwara, Distt.- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Niranjan Parihar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 09-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner, who is husband of the opposite party
no. 2, apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence
punishable under Section 304(B), 328 and 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution story, the informant alleges that
the marriage of his sister was solemnized with the petitioner
long back on 19.04.2018. However, she has always been
subjected to demand of dowry and on account of non-fulfillment
of the same she was done to death on 07.06.2024, after
administering poison by all the accused persons.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this



case merely because he happens to be husband of the deceased. Informant is not an eye-witness to the occurrence. Petitioner neither demanded any dowry nor committed any torture to the deceased. On the alleged date of occurrence, petitioner was in Delhi. As a matter of fact, sister of the informant committed suicide and died while being taken to hospital.

5. Learned counsel for the informant and the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner is the husband of the informant and there is direct and specific allegation that he along with other accused persons committed murder of the sister of the informant. Deceased died in an unnatural circumstances within six years of marriage at her matrimonial home.

6. Considering the nature and gravity of offence and the fact that petitioner is husband of the deceased and there is specific and direct allegation against him, prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

Neha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

