

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39409 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-40 Year-2024 Thana- BHELDI District- Saran

=====

Bishwajeet Kumar @ Bishwajeet Singh @ Vishwajeet Kumar S/o Lalbabu
Singh Resident of Village- Adhunpur, Police Station- Garkha, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Awadhesh Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Renu Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 09-07-2025 1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.
2. This application, for grant of anticipatory bail, arises out
of Bheldi Police Station Case No. 40 of 2024, dated
09.02.2024, disclosing offences punishable under
Sections 25(1-b)/26/35 of the Arms Act.
3. The prosecution case, as per the First Information Report,
is that during patrolling, the police apprehended two
persons, who disclosed their names as co-accused Sonu
Singh and Nishant Singh and from their possession, the
police recovered one country-made loaded pistol and one
live cartridge from each of the two persons. The
apprehended accused person, namely, Nishant Singh, in
his confessional statement, has disclosed that the



petitioner has given them the arms and ammunition for selling them.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence in the manner alleged. He further submits that the petitioner has got no criminal antecedent. He next submits that the name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of co-accused Nishant Singh, as the person who had given them the arms and ammunition.
5. I have heard learned Counsel for the parties concerned and have perused the material available on record, including the impugned order.
6. From perusal of the impugned order, it transpires that the co-accused Nishant Singh, in his confessional statement, has disclosed the active involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence.
7. Considering the above, I do not find any reason to differ with the findings arrived at by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-XII, Saran, at Chapra, inasmuch as the case is under investigation, wherein the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may be necessary based upon the material collected by the police during course of



investigation. As such, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J.)

Prabhakar Anand/-

U	√	T	√
---	---	---	---

