

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39912 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-102 Year-2024 Thana- UDWANTNAGAR District- Bhojpur

Nandan Singh Son of Late Rajendra Singh Resident of Village - Rungta Galli,
Police Station - Ara Nawada, District - Bhojpur at Ara.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shashank Shekhar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satyendra Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 18-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Udwantnagar P.S. Case No. 102 of 2024 instituted for the
offence under Sections 341, 323, 354, 307, 504, 506/34 of the
Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case allegation against the
petitioner is of opening fire upon the informant due to which he
sustained injury over a quarrel which took place one day before
the occurrence in a dance programme.

4. The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of
regular bail which was earlier rejected by this Court vide order
dated 11.12.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 84452 of 2024.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 20.04.2024 and further submits that despite lapse of considerable period, the charges have not yet been framed till date and, thus, there is no likelihood of conclusion of the trial in near future.

6. In compliance to the order of this Court dated 30.06.2025, the learned court below has sent report dated 09.07.2025 regarding present stage of trial.

7. The report of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur at Arrah dated 09.07.2025 indicates that charge-sheet has been submitted against the petitioner for offence under Sections 341, 323, 354, 307, 504, 506/34 of the I.P.C. and Section 25(1-b)a/26/27/35 of the Arms Act. It is further reported that the case record of the three accused including the petitioner has been committed to the Court of learned Sessions Judge, Bhojpur Arrah.

8. This Court finds that there is no new ground to consider the bail application of the petitioner.

9. In that view of the matter, the present application is again rejected with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of six months from today.



10. If the trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period of six months, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail before the learned court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

