

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42116 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-46 Year-2025 Thana- BISHANPUR District- Darbhanga

1. Bivek Singh @ Bivek Kumar @ Vivek Singh S/o Suresh Singh R/o Vill-Rampur Dih, P.s- Bishanpur, Dist- Darbhanga
2. Kartik Singh @ Kartik Kumar Singh S/o Suresh Singh R/o Vill-Rampur Dih, P.s- Bishanpur, Dist- Darbhanga
3. Manish Singh @ Manish Kumar Singh S/o Suresh Singh R/o Vill-Rampur Dih, P.s- Bishanpur, Dist- Darbhanga
4. Bablu Singh @ Bablu Kumar Singh S/o Suresh Singh R/o Vill-Rampur Dih, P.s- Bishanpur, Dist- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Girish Chandra Jha, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Satyendra Prasad, APP
	Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Adv

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 09-07-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, after arguing vehemently for some time realising his difficulty, seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application with respect to Petitioner No. 4, Bablu Singh @ Bablu Kumar Singh.
3. Permission is accorded.
4. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is dismissed as withdrawn with respect to Petitioner No. 4, Bablu



Singh @ Bablu Kumar Singh.

5. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109(1), 74, 352, 351(2), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner Nos. 1 and 3 are persons with clean antecedent and Petitioner No. 2 has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that while he was returning from the market, when he was intercepted by the accused persons; and Suresh caught him and gave orders to kill, on which Bablu assaulted by rod causing injury on head, thereafter Rajeev also assaulted by rod on head causing injury, further Manish and Bivek assaulted by bamboo on shoulder and hand, thereafter Kartik assaulted his nephew by butt of pistol causing injury on head, on alarm villagers gathered and took the injured to hospital.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that specific allegation of assaulting the informant is against Bablu and Rajeev. It is further submitted that as far allegation of assault against Manish and Bivek is alleged, they are alleged to have assaulted by lathi



on non-vital part of the body and Kartik is alleged to have assaulted by butt of pistol causing injury on head of the nephew of the informant, but then the FIR has not been instituted under the Arms Act.

8. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

9. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioner Nos. 1, 2 and 3, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bishanpur P.S. Case No. 46 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

