

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40157 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2023 Thana- N.C.B (GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL)
District- Muzaffarpur

=====

Rahul Ranjan Kumar Son of Vijay Prasad Resident of Vill Dharhari, P.S-
Chiraiya, Distt- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Union of India through Narcotics Control Bureau , Deptt. of Internal Security , Min of Home Govt. of India, New Delhi

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar, Advocate
For the UOI	:	Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, CGC
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 04-09-2025 Heard Mr. Santosh Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner; Mr. Ram Anurag Singh, learned counsel for the

U.O.I. and Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with NCB (Government Official), Muzaffarpur Case No. 06 of
2023/ N.D.P.S. Case No. 151 of 2023 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 8(c), 18, 27A, 29 and 62 of the
N.D.P.S. Act and cognizance has been taken under Sections
18(B), 27(A), 29 of the N.D.P.S. Act.

3. The case of the prosecution is that about 6 kgs of
opium has been recovered from the petitioner and other accused
persons. Rs. 4,05,000/- has also been recovered from the



accused persons.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that two similarly situated persons namely Govind Kumar Mandal and Amitesh Kumar have been granted bail by learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court passed in Cr. Misc. Nos. 87326 of 2024 and 30937 of 2025 respectively. It has also been submitted that a statement has been made in para- 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 23.03.2023.

5. From perusal of the seizure list, it transpires that the recovery has been made from the bag of this petitioner, so, the case of this petitioner is not of similar nature from those co-accused persons who have been granted bail by learned co-ordinate Benches of this Court.

6. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the NCB. Learned counsel for the NCB has submitted that in this case, all the prosecution witnesses have been examined and the trial is at its fag end.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and



considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and as the trial is at its fag end, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail, as such, his prayer for bail stands rejected.

8. However, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after three months if the trial is not concluded.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

