

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40680 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-103 Year-2024 Thana- TANKUPPA District- Gaya

=====

Lakhendra Yadav @ Lakhendra Prasad Yadav S/o Prameshwar Yadav R/o
Village- Dargahi Bigha, Post Office- Teyar, P.S.- Narhat, District- Nawada

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ujjawal Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Rajendra Prasad Nat

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in a case in
connection with Tankuppa P.S. Case No. 103 of 2024 dated
01.07.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Section
30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 50 litres of
country made liquor was from the motorcycle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted
that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in
this case. As per para-10 of the bail petition, the petitioner is the
owner of the motorcycle and the said vehicle was sold by the
petitioner to one Ravi Kumar Srivastava. No incriminating



article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged recovery. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 05.08.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 55601 of 2024. Learned counsel has submitted that no case is made out against the petitioner. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the material available on record, let the



above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court concerned, Gaya in connection with Tankuppa P.S. Case No. 103 of 2024, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

shivam/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

