

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40866 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-50 Year-2023 Thana- JALE District- Darbhanga

Atul Mishra S/o Pravesh Mishra @ Raviranjana Mishra R/o Village- Bihari
Ward No. 04, P.S.- Jale, District- Darbhanga, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manish Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, A.P.P

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 08-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Jale P.S. Case No. 50 of 2023 lodged on 29.04.2023, for the
offence punishable under Sections 302, 307 & 34 of the Indian
Penal Code, pending in the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate
1st, Darbhanga.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
regular bail application of the petitioner has earlier been rejected
vide order dated 06.12.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 76125 of
2024. Counsel submits that no progress has taken place in the
trial and petitioner is in custody since 06.08.2024. He submits
that on earlier occasion, report with regard to the present stage
of trial has been called for.



4. Learned counsel appearing for the informant vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that in the earlier rejection order, it has been acknowledged that it is the petitioner who has made repeated dagger blow on the body of the informant's son due to which, he died. Counsel submits that the allegation made in the FIR, the injury observed in the inquest report and post-mortem report, are corroborative and there is a direct allegation against the petitioner.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that on earlier occasion, report with regard to the present stage of the trial has been called for and from perusal of the said report, it transpires that the cognizance has been taken and the commitment has been made in this case.

6. In this view of the matter, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

7. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

