

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37889 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2025 Thana- CHAINPUR District- Kaimur (Bhabua)

Dhananjay Yadav Son of Ram Parikha Yadav @ Ram Parikha Singh, R/o
Village -Nandgau (Suhawal), P.S. -Chainpur, District Kaimur at Bhabua.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tribhuwan Narayan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Syed Ehteshamuddin, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 24-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Chainpur P.S. Case No. 92 of 2025, dated 06.03.2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 127(1), 115(2), 109, 303(2), 76, 352 and 351(2) of the BNS read with Section 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 05.03.2025, the petitioner along with other co-accused person, variously armed, came at the house of informant and abused the informant and his family members and on gun point took away a box from his courtyard. It is further alleged that the petitioner fired with his *katta* on the informant, but the bullet did not hit the informant



and the co-accused assaulted informant's brother by means of iron-rod and also snatched golden chain from the neck of his brother's wife and threatened them with dire consequences.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case due to previous enmity and land dispute. The allegation levelled in the FIR is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the FIR. The allegation of hitting the brother of informant by means of iron-rod is attributed against the brother of petitioner namely, Mananjay Singh and injury report of informant's brother reveals that he suffered three injuries and out of three injuries two were opined to be grievous in nature while one was opined to be simple in nature. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 06.04.2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as petitioner's period of custody, the petitioner, above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty



Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-V, Kaimur at Bhabua, in connection with **Chainpur P.S. Case No. 92 of 2025.**

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

Shahnawaz/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

