

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37851 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2024 Thana- PARSA District- Saran

Sheela Devi W/o Satyendra Rai R/o Village- Anjani Mathiya, P.S.- Parsa,  
District- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Jeetendra Narayan, Adv.  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Parmanand Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2      26-06-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends her arrest in connection with Parsa P.S. Case No. 03 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 506 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code and, later on added Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. It is alleged that this petitioner along with other accused persons assaulted the father of the informant by means of *lathi-danda* and *garasa* as a result of which he sustained injury. The informant's father died during course of treatment.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case with false and frivolous allegations. The petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner. No specific overt act is alleged against the petitioner. Learned counsel further submitted that petitioner had no intention to commit murder of the deceased. Learned counsel further contended that deceased died during course of treatment due to negligence and lack of proper treatment. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the present anticipatory bail application.

5. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner, above named, is rejected. If the petitioner surrenders before the court below within a period of four



weeks from today and prays for regular bail, the same would  
be considered by the court below in accordance with law  
without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

rishi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

