

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38537 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-9 Year-2024 Thana- CHAKARANDHA P.S. District- Gaya

VYAS PASWAN S/O INDRADEO PASWAN R/O VILL-BARHA, PS-
CHHAKARBANDHA, DIST-GAYA

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Md Jubair Ansari, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tapeswar Sharma, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 26-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Chhakarbandha P.S. Case No. 9 of 2024 instituted for the
offences under Sections 103(1), 238, 61(2) of the Bharatiya
Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Earlier vide order dated 19.02.2025 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 82582 of 2024, the prayer for grant of bail to the
petitioner was rejected by this Court taking into account the role
of the petitioner in the alleged offence.

4. Prosecution case, in short, is that the petitioner
along with other accused persons committed the murder of the
informant's brother.



5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this is the second attempt of the petitioner for grant of bail. He mainly submitted that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 19.08.2024 and there is no significant progress in the trial nor there is any likelihood of conclusion of trial in near future and therefore, petitioner may be released on bail. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. As per the report dated 26.08.2025 sent by the learned court below, it appears that charge has been framed on 08.08.2025 and the trial is at the stage of prosecution evidence.

8. Having considered the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court finds no fresh ground to reconsider the matter which has already been decided on merit by this Court as also taking into account the present stage of trial, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

10. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.



11. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

