

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38951 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-169 Year-2020 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

=====

Sujeet Singh @ Sujeet Kumar Singh S/o Late Yogendra Singh Resident of
Village- Naurangiya Tola Dih, P.S.- Madhuban, District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Binay Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-07-2025 Heard Mr. Binay Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, learned Additional

Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
27.01.2025 in connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No. 169 of
2020, F.I.R. dated 04.06.2020 for the offences punishable under
Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 324, 307, 325, 379, 504 of
I.P.C.

3. According to prosecution case, the petitioner
and other accused person assaulted the informant by means of
Farsa on the head.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case. He further submits that the



petitioner is in custody since 27.01.2025 and although the petitioner has been named in the F.I.R. and there is specific allegation against this petitioner that he assaulted one Vijay Singh by means of Farsa on his head, although the said Vijay Singh received injury and the injury report of the Vijay Singh suggests that the injury is grievous in nature. He further submits that the present case is counter blast of Madhubani P.S. Case No. 159 of 2020 against the informant and others and the informant only to save his skin from the Madhubani P.S. Case No. 159 of 2020 has instituted the present case against the petitioner and other co-accused persons. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned J.M.-I, East Champaran in connection with Madhuban P.S. Case No. 169 of 2020, subject to the following conditions:-



i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

