

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.49803 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-6 Year-2025 Thana- Gehlaur District- Gaya

1. Sunil Kumar @ Sunil Yadav S/o Shiv Nandan Yadav Village- Khari, PS- Atri, District- Gaya
2. Sanjay Kumar @ Chhotu Kumar S/o Jay Nandan Yadav Village- Sakardas Nawada, PS- Wazirganj, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Syed Asgher Najmi, Adv Mr. Ashutosh Kumar Mishra, Adv Mr. Achyut Kumar, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.Harendra Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-08-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners, after arguing vehemently for some time realising his difficulty, seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application with respect to petitioner No. 1, Sunil Kumar @ Sunil Yadav.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly, the anticipatory bail application is dismissed as withdrawn with respect to petitioner No. 1, Sunil Kumar @ Sunil Yadav.

5. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126,



115(2), 352, 351(2), 303(2), 74, 329(4), 109, 117(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

6. It is next submitted that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on account of previous enmity, accused persons came and Tinku assaulted her by *sabal* causing injury on hand, while Shyam Sundar assaulted her grand-daughter by butt of pistol causing injury on head, thereafter Sunil assaulted her brother-in-law by *pasuli* causing injury on finger and Shrikant snatched her gold chain.

7. It is submitted that from perusal of the allegation of as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that specific allegation of assault is alleged against the accused persons and as far as the petitioner is concerned, no specific allegation of assault is alleged against him.

8. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

9. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner No. 2, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount



each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Gehlaur P.S. Case No. 06 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

