

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37880 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-76 Year-2025 Thana- KHAIRA District- Saran

=====

Pawan Kumar Singh @ Pawan Singh S/o Late Jagdish Singh R/o Village-
Dhobbal, P.S.- Khaira, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Alka Panday, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Dr. Ajeet Kumar, APP

Mr. Udai Shankar Singh, Adv

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 25-06-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf
of the informant.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2),
115(2), 118(1), 109, 351(2) and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita.
3. Learned counsel counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean
antecedent and the informant alleges that petitioner sold his
custard apple tree fruits to someone else, on which the
informant went to the house of the petitioner to seek
clarification, on which petitioner assaulted the informant by



lathi on leg and hand, further wife of the petitioner assaulted the informant by *farsa* causing injury on head and when son of the informant Raunak came to save him, when the petitioner assaulted him by spade causing injury on head.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that the petitioner and the informant are agnates and are having dispute relating to property. It is further submitted that an altercation took place in between the petitioner and the informant on selling of tree, on account of which both side assaulted each other. It is also submitted that as far as petitioner is concerned, he is alleged to have assaulted the informant by *lathi* on hand and leg and his son by spade on head. It is next submitted that injury of the son of the informant is opined to be simple whereas one of the injuries on the informant's leg is opined to be simple and with regard to injury on hand, the opinion has been reserved. It is further submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting is true, then the injury suffered by the son of the informant is simple in nature and informant was not assaulted on vital part of the body. It is also submitted that petitioner is not a criminal.



5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Khaira P.S. Case No. 76 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

