

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39749 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-21 Year-2025 Thana- KUSHESHWARASTHAN District-
Darbhanga

=====

Ramchandra Paswan Son of Late Guna Paswan @ Ghina Paswan Resident of
- Adalpur, Post office- Kusheshwar Asthan, P.S.- Kusheshwar Sthan, District-
Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kalyan Shankar, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 03-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Kusheshwar Asthan P.S. Case No. 21 of 2025 instituted for the
offence under Sections 103(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita,
2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. Prosecution case in a nutshell is that, the petitioner
along with co-accused allegedly shot and killed the brother of
the informant.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that the petitioner is in custody since 25-03-2025. Petitioner
bears three criminal antecedents, as per disclosure made in



paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. There is no eye witness to the occurrence. Petitioner has been roped in this case on mere suspicion. As per confessional statement of co-accused, namely, Ranjan Yadav, he has stated that co-accused, namely, Subodh, Mukesh and Sudarshan fired upon the deceased. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is fervently submitted that it is the petitioner who has given the contract for killing, hence, he is the primary conspirator in the commission of the alleged offence. Moreover, as per postmortem report, caused of death is due to injuries sustained by three fire arm shot.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being ample material against the petitioner to establish his role in the commission of the offence, this Court at this stage is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Prayer for bail of the petitioner is *rejected* at this stage.

8. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as



expeditiously as possible without any undue delay and unnecessary adjournments.

9. However, petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail, if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

