

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42454 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-353 Year-2024 Thana- MOHANPUR District- Gaya

Vikram Das @ Vikram Kumar Son of Ram Swroop Ravidas Resident of
Village -Jarhara, PS- Bodh Gaya Dist -Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Jyoti Kumari Daughter of Harihar Paswan village- Nihani, Ps- Mohanpur,
Dist- Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Meena Singh, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 11-09-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Mohanpur P.S. Case No. 353 of 2024 instituted for the offences
under Sections 96 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and 4
of the POCSO Act.

3. Accusation against the petitioner is of luring away
the informant's minor daughter.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the
petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case.
Learned counsel further submitted that victim herself left her
house on her own sweet will and this petitioner never gave
compulsion to her nor made physical relationship with her



against her will. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 10.12.2024 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. Learned APP further submitted that as per medical report of the victim, who is minor, there is evidence of sexual activity and rape cannot be denied. Learned APP further submitted that police after investigation submitted under Section 96, 64(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 4 of the POCSO Act.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, charge-sheet being submitted under Sections 96, 64(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 4 of the POCSO Act, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

