

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.38098 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-419 Year-2022 Thana- KHAIRA District- Saran

Pintu Kumar Singh @ Pintu Kumar son of Virendra Singh Village- Koreyan,
Po- Khaira, P.S- Khaira, Dist- Saran at Chapra

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Jeetendra Narayan, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar Roy, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-09-2025 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The case was taken up on 18.06.2025 when the case diary along with the postmortem report was called for by a learned Co-ordinate Bench, but the same till date has not been received, but then the Court will not wait endlessly for the case diary and the postmortem report.

3. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504, 379, 302 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that on 03.11.2022 at 06:00 AM, the accused persons including the petitioner came to her door and started abusing, on



objection, the accused persons assaulter her by bricks, stone and *danda* on account of which he sustained injury on head and on whole of her body, further Kamlesh snatched her golden chain and eartops, but was saved by the villagers who came at the place of occurrence.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R., it would manifest that allegation of assault is general and omnibus in nature. It is also submitted that the date of occurrence is 03.11.2022 and the informant subsequently died on 17.01.2023 i.e. after a delay of more than two months of the occurrence, it is thus submitted that the alleged occurrence was not the proximate cause of death. It is also submitted that petitioner will not abscond rather will co-operate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Khaira P.S. Case No. 419 of 2022 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

8. However, it is made clear that in the event if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioner despite giving assurance to this Court is not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

9. Let a copy of this order be sent to the concerned police station through the learned Trial Court.

10. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

